



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,112	01/16/2001	Richard S. Slevin	20042-7001	3637

35939 7590 10/10/2007
PATENT LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL E. WOODS
3433 WHEELING DRIVE
SANTA CLARA, CA 95051

EXAMINER

ZIA, SYED

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/10/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/761,112

Applicant(s)

SLEVIN, RICHARD S.

Examiner

Syed Zia

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-47, 49 and 50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-47, 49 and 50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to amendment filed on July 13, 2007. Original application contained Claims 1-2. Applicant previously added new Claims 3-49. Applicant previously amended Claims 1-3, 6, 9-11, 16-17, 24, and 26-49. Applicant currently cancelled Claim 48, and added new Claim 50. Applicant's amendments submitted on July 13, 2007 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-47, and 49-50.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

1. Regarding independent and dependent Claims 1-2 applicants argued that the cite prior art Cromer does not teach, and fails "*to show any device between the power supply (main supply power 240 is clearly directly connected to the system components) and the "rest" of the system.*".

This is not found persuasive. The system of cited prior arts (CPA) [Cromer et al. (U. S. Patent 6,237,100)] clearly teach a system and method of a power security unit (PSU) which is included between the keyboard and keyboard controller. A power-on password is established in the PSU. An internal power supply included in the data processing system supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password.

Art Unit: 2131

As a result, the system of cited prior art(s) does implement and teaches a system and method that relates to biometric access control of power gating provided to operate components of the electronic device.

Applicants clearly have failed to explicitly identify specific claim limitations, which would define a patentable distinction over prior arts.

The examiner is not trying to teach the invention but is merely trying to interpret the claim language in its broadest and reasonable meaning. The examiner will not interpret to read narrowly the claim language to read exactly from the specification, but will interpret the claim language in the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification. Therefore, the examiner asserts that the system of cited prior arts does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent Claims and in subsequent dependent Claims. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-47, and 49-50 are respectfully maintained.

2. Regarding Claim 47 rejections under 35 USC § 101, examiner still assert that the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter because computer program product need to be stored in computer readable medium to make it statutory. Therefore, rejection is respectfully maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2131

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In this case nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored in a computer-readable medium, in a computer, does not make this statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-47, and 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cromer et al. (U. S. Patent 6,237,100) and view of Teitelbaum (U. S. Patent 5,872,834).

3. Regarding Claim 1, and 50 Cromer teach and describe a biometric controlled power gate controlling a power flow from a power source to an electrically powered device, comprising:
 - a circuit of the device energized by the power flow for enabling a startup procedure of a processor of the device; a biometric-controlled switch, coupled to said circuit between the power

Art Unit: 2131

source and said processor, for enabling said energizing of said circuit responsive to an assertion of a biometric activation signal; and a biometric reader coupled to said biometric-controlled switch, comprising: a memory for storing a biometric signature; a biometric sensor, coupled to said memory, for discerning a biometric profile; and a verifier, coupled to said biometric sensor and to said memory, for asserting said biometric activation signal when said biometric profile matches said biometric signature wherein said electronic device is inoperable from the power source until said assertion of said biometric activation signal (Fig.1-3, col.2 line 34 to col.3 line 25, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and col.5 line 24 to col.6line 15).

Although the system disclosed by Cromer shows the features of the claimed limitation of controlling within a data processing system for the power supplied to the system .The system of Cromer includes an internal power supply for receiving energy from an external source and supplying the energy to the system. The energy is full system power and is required for the system to be fully operable. The system is initially powered-off such that the energy is not initially supplied to the system. A power-on password is established. The internal power supply supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password, wherein the system is inoperable prior to the correct entry of the power-on password.

However, Cromer does not specifically disclose gating functionality using biometric controlled switch.

In an analogous art, Teitelbaum, on the other hand discloses computing environment that relates to methods and device for receiving biometric input information and for providing biometric data to the a switch for establishing a communication link, such as start and stop of access control, between at least a user and the at least a device user is trying to access, and thus

Art Unit: 2131

providing features and enabling services in dependence upon received biometric data (col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cromer and Teitelbaum, because Teitelbaum's device and functionality combined with Cromer's power security unit (PSU), for controlling power to the system before the start-up, using biometric input by using directly connection would not only further enhance the existing security structure in the system of Cromer during startup of a device and access control but will also provide safeguards against attempt by unauthorized person to breach security of system during start-up or shut-down (Cromer: col.1 line 65 to col.2 line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.9 line 46 to line 65).

4. Regarding Claim 2 Cromer teach and describe a method for power gating an electronic device powered from a power source, comprising:

- discerning a biometric profile of a prospective user of the electronic device; and comparing said biometric profile to a stored biometric signature of an authorized user of the electronic device; and thereafter asserting a biometric activation signal to a biometric-controlled switch when said prospective user is an authorized user, said biometric-controlled switch interposed between the power source and a circuit of the electronic device for enabling a startup procedure of said electronic device such that said biometric-controlled switch interrupts power to said circuit when said activation signal is not asserted wherein said startup procedure is inoperable from the power source until said assertion of said biometric activation signal (Fig.1-3, col.2 line 34 to col.3 line 25, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and col.5 line 24 to col.6line 15).

Although the system disclosed by Cromer shows the features of the claimed limitation of controlling within a data processing system for the power supplied to the system. The system of Cromer includes an internal power supply for receiving energy from an external source and supplying the energy to the system. The energy is full system power and is required for the system to be fully operable. The system is initially powered-off such that the energy is not initially supplied to the system. A power-on password is established. The internal power supply supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password, wherein the system is inoperable prior to the correct entry of the power-on password.

However, Cromer does not specifically disclose gating functionality using biometric controlled switch.

In an analogous art, Teitelbaum, on the other hand discloses computing environment that relates to methods and device for receiving biometric input information and for providing biometric data to the a switch for establishing a communication link, such as start and stop of access control, between at least a user and the at least a device user is trying to access, and thus providing features and enabling services in dependence upon received biometric data (col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cromer and Teitelbaum, because Teitelbaum's device and functionality combined with Cromer's power security unit (PSU), for controlling power to the system before the start-up, using biometric input by using directly connection would not only further enhance the existing security structure in the system of Cromer during startup of a device and access control but will also provide safeguards against attempt by unauthorized

Art Unit: 2131

person to breach security of system during start-up or shut-down (Cromer: col.1 line 65 to col.2 line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.9 line 46 to line 65).

5. Regarding Claim 3 Cromer teach and describe a biometric power gating system for controlling power from a power source to a circuit, comprising:

an electronic device including the circuit operable from the power provided from the power source, a biometric-controlled switch, coupled to said electronic device between the power source and the circuit, for gating the power from the power source responsive to a biometric activation signal; and a biometric reader for asserting said biometric activation signal responsive to a verification of a user biometric signature wherein said electronic device is inoperable from the power from the power source until said biometric activation signal is asserted (Fig.1-3, col.2 line 34 to col.3 line 25, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and col.5 line 24 to col.6line 15).

Although the system disclosed by Cromer shows the features of the claimed limitation of controlling within a data processing system for the power supplied to the system .The system of Cromer includes an internal power supply for receiving energy from an external source and supplying the energy to the system. The energy is full system power and is required for the system to be fully operable. The system is initially powered-off such that the energy is not initially supplied to the system. A power-on password is established. The internal power supply supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password, wherein the system is inoperable prior to the correct entry of the power-on password.

However, Cromer does not specifically disclose gating functionality using biometric controlled switch.

In an analogous art, Teitelbaum, on the other hand discloses computing environment that relates to methods and device for receiving biometric input information and for providing biometric data to the a switch for establishing a communication link, such as start and stop of access control, between at least a user and the at least a device user is trying to access, and thus providing features and enabling services in dependence upon received biometric data (col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cromer and Teitelbaum, because Teitelbaum's device and functionality combined with Cromer's power security unit (PSU), for controlling power to the system before the start-up, using biometric input by using directly connection would not only further enhance the existing security structure in the system of Cromer during startup of a device and access control but will also provide safeguards against attempt by unauthorized person to breach security of system during start-up or shut-down (Cromer: col.1 line 65 to col.2 line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.9 line 46 to line 65).

6. Regarding Claim 24 Cromer teach and describe a biometric-mediated power gating method, comprising.

establishing a biometric profile from a prospective user; comparing said biometric profile to a biometric signature; asserting a biometric activation signal when said profile and said signature match; and gating, responsive to said biometric activation signal, power from a power

Art Unit: 2131

source to an electronic device using a biometric switch coupled to said biometric activation signal to enable operation of said electronic device wherein said electronic device is inoperable from said power source until said assertion of said biometric activation signal and wherein said biometric access control is disposed between said power source and said electronic device to control said power thereafter (Fig.1-3, col.2 line 34 to col.3 line 25, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and col.5 line 24 to col.6line 15).

Although the system disclosed by Cromer shows the features of the claimed limitation of controlling within a data processing system for the power supplied to the system .The system of Cromer includes an internal power supply for receiving energy from an external source and supplying the energy to the system. The energy is full system power and is required for the system to be fully operable. The system is initially powered-off such that the energy is not initially supplied to the system. A power-on password is established. The internal power supply supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password, wherein the system is inoperable prior to the correct entry of the power-on password.

However, Cromer does not specifically disclose gating functionality using biometric controlled switch.

In an analogous art, Teitelbaum, on the other hand discloses computing environment that relates to methods and device for receiving biometric input information and for providing biometric data to the a switch for establishing a communication link, such as start and stop of access control, between at least a user and the at least a device user is trying to access, and thus providing features and enabling services in dependence upon received biometric data (col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cromer and Teitelbaum, because Teitelbaum's device and functionality combined with Cromer's power security unit (PSU), for controlling power to the system before the start-up, using biometric input by using directly connection would not only further enhance the existing security structure in the system of Cromer during startup of a device and access control but will also provide safeguards against attempt by unauthorized person to breach security of system during start-up or shut-down (Cromer: col.1 line 65 to col.2 line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.9 line 46 to line 65).

7. Regarding Claim 26 Cromer teach a biometrics-mediated power gating method, comprising:

a) asserting a biometric activation signal responsive to a verification of a user biometric signature; and b) gating, responsive to said biometric activation signal, power from a power source to an electronic device operable from said power using a biometric-controlled switch operably disposed between said power source and said electronic device wherein said electronic device is inoperable from said power source until said assertion of said biometric activation signal (Fig.1-3, col.2 line 34 to col.3 line 25, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and col.5 line 24 to col.6line 15).

Although the system disclosed by Cromer shows the features of the claimed limitation of controlling within a data processing system for the power supplied to the system .The system of Cromer includes an internal power supply for receiving energy from an external source and

Art Unit: 2131

supplying the energy to the system. The energy is full system power and is required for the system to be fully operable. The system is initially powered-off such that the energy is not initially supplied to the system. A power-on password is established. The internal power supply supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password, wherein the system is inoperable prior to the correct entry of the power-on password.

However, Cromer does not specifically disclose gating functionality using biometric controlled switch.

In an analogous art, Teitelbaum, on the other hand discloses computing environment that relates to methods and device for receiving biometric input information and for providing biometric data to the a switch for establishing a communication link, such as start and stop of access control, between at least a user and the at least a device user is trying to access, and thus providing features and enabling services in dependence upon received biometric data (col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cromer and Teitelbaum, because Teitelbaum's device and functionality combined with Cromer's power security unit (PSU), for controlling power to the system before the start-up, using biometric input by using directly connection would not only further enhance the existing security structure in the system of Cromer during startup of a device and access control but will also provide safeguards against attempt by unauthorized person to breach security of system during start-up or shut-down (Cromer: col.1 line 65 to col.2 line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.9 line 46 to line 65).

8. Regarding Claim 47 Cromer teach and describe a computer program product comprising a computer readable medium carrying program instructions for power gating an electronic device when executed using a computing system, the executed program instructions executing a method, the method comprising: a) asserting a biometric activation signal responsive to a verification of a user biometric signature; and b) gating, responsive to said biometric activation signal, power from a power source to the electronic device operable from said power using a biometric-controlled switch operably disposed between said power source and the electronic device (Fig.1-3, col.2 line 34 to col.3 line 25, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and col.5 line 24 to col.6line 15).

Although the system disclosed by Cromer shows the features of the claimed limitation of controlling within a data processing system for the power supplied to the system .The system of Cromer includes an internal power supply for receiving energy from an external source and supplying the energy to the system. The energy is full system power and is required for the system to be fully operable. The system is initially powered-off such that the energy is not initially supplied to the system. A power-on password is established. The internal power supply supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password, wherein the system is inoperable prior to the correct entry of the power-on password.

However, Cromer does not specifically disclose gating functionality using biometric controlled switch.

In an analogous art, Teitelbaum, on the other hand discloses computing environment that

Art Unit: 2131

relates to methods and device for receiving biometric input information and for providing biometric data to the a switch for establishing a communication link, such as start and stop of access control, between at least a user and the at least a device user is trying to access, and thus providing features and enabling services in dependence upon received biometric data (col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cromer and Teitelbaum, because Teitelbaum's device and functionality combined with Cromer's power security unit (PSU), for controlling power to the system before the start-up, using biometric input by using directly connection would not only further enhance the existing security structure in the system of Cromer during startup of a device and access control but will also provide safeguards against attempt by unauthorized person to breach security of system during start-up or shut-down (Cromer: col.1 line 65 to col.2 line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.9 line 46 to line 65).

10. Regarding Claim 49 Cromer teach and describe a biometric-apparatus for gating power, comprising. means, responsive to a verification of a user biometric signature, for asserting a biometric activation signal to enable a power source, and means, responsive to said biometric activation signal, for gating power from said power source to an electronic device operable from said power using a biometric-controlled switch operably disposed between said power source and said electronic device wherein said electronic device is inoperable from said power source until said assertion of said biometric activation signal (Fig.1-3, col.2 line 34 to col.3 line 25, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and col.5 line 24 to col.6line 15).

Although the system disclosed by Cromer shows the features of the claimed limitation of controlling within a data processing system for the power supplied to the system. The system of Cromer includes an internal power supply for receiving energy from an external source and supplying the energy to the system. The energy is full system power and is required for the system to be fully operable. The system is initially powered-off such that the energy is not initially supplied to the system. A power-on password is established. The internal power supply supplies the energy to the system only in response to a correct entry of the power-on password, wherein the system is inoperable prior to the correct entry of the power-on password.

However, Cromer does not specifically disclose gating functionality using biometric controlled switch.

In an analogous art, Teitelbaum, on the other hand discloses computing environment that relates to methods and device for receiving biometric input information and for providing biometric data to the a switch for establishing a communication link, such as start and stop of access control, between at least a user and the at least a device user is trying to access, and thus providing features and enabling services in dependence upon received biometric data (col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Cromer and Teitelbaum, because Teitelbaum's device and functionality combined with Cromer's power security unit (PSU), for controlling power to the system before the start-up, using biometric input by using directly connection would not only further enhance the existing security structure in the system of Cromer during startup of a device and access control but will also provide safeguards against attempt by unauthorized

Art Unit: 2131

person to breach security of system during start-up or shut-down (Cromer: col.1 line 65 to col.2 line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.9 line 46 to line 65).

11. Claims 4-15, 18, 21, 25, 27-29, 32-37, 38, 41, and 44 are rejected applied as above rejecting Claims 3, 24, and 26. Furthermore, the system of Cromer, and Teitelbaum teach and describe a biometric access control of power gating provided to operate components of the electronic device, wherein:

- said biometric signature includes a fingerprint, a retinal pattern (Teitelbaum: col.1 line 45 to line 55),

- a portable electronic device, a personal data assistant (PDA), includes a laptop computer (Cromer: col.1 line 15 to line 32);

- said power source includes a battery, a power supply, a direct power (Cromer: col.1 line 15 to line 32);

- said switch is integrated into said electronic, the power source, said biometric reader, and said switch is a state device for storing an operational mode (Teitelbaum: Fig. 13-15, col.12 line 54 to line 58);

- said electronic device includes a plurality of BIOS routines and wherein said switch selectively activates one or more of said BIOS routines responsive to said activation signal (Teitelbaum: col.7 line 50 to col.9 line 15).

- said electronic device enables access to a set of resources responsive to an authentication and wherein said switch provides said authentication responsive to said activation signal (Cromer: Abstract, and Teitelbaum: col.2 line 28 to col.65).

Art Unit: 2131

- said gating step d) operation enablement includes initiating a boot sequence of said electronic device (Teitelbaum: col.7 line 50 to col.9 line 15).

12. Claims 16,19, 22, 30-31, 39, 42, and 45 are rejected applied as above rejecting Claims 15, 18, 21, 29, 38, 41, and 44. Furthermore, the system of Cromer, and Teitelbaum teaches and describes a biometric access control of power gating provided to operate components of the electronic device, wherein:

- said operational mode maintains said gating of said power from said power source after receiving an asserted activation signal (Cromer: Fig.1-3, col1 line 58 to col.2 line 67).

- said biometric reader discriminates between a first user and a second user, with said activation signal identifying a particular one of said users (Teitelbaum: col.7 line 50 to col.9 line 15)

- biometric reader for asserting said activation signal responsive to said verification of said biometric signature, the method further comprising discriminating between a first user and a second user, with said activation signal identifying a particular one of said users (Teitelbaum: col.7 line 50 to col.9 line 15)

13. Claims 17, 20, 23, 40, 43, and 46 are rejected applied as above rejecting Claims 16, 19, 22, 39, 42, and 45. Furthermore, the system of Cromer, and Teitelbaum teaches and describes a biometric access control of power gating provided to operate components of the electronic device, wherein:

Art Unit: 2131

said operational mode is reset to disable said power from said power source when said electronic device is inactivated pending reassertion of said activation signal (Cromer: Fig.1-3, col.1 line 58 to col.2 line 67).

said switch selectively activates said one or more said BIOS routine responsive to said particular one user with said switch activating a different one or more of said BIOS routines for said first user than activated for said second user (Teitelbaum: col.7 line 50 to col.9 line 15)

- said switch selectively enables access to one or more resources of said set of resources responsive to said particular one user with said switch signaling enablement of a different one or more resources for said first user than enabled for said second user (Teitelbaum: col.7 line 50 to col.9 line 15);

- said portable electronic device includes a personal data assistant (PDA), and a laptop computer (Cromer: col.1 line 15 to line 32);

resetting said operational mode to disable said power from said power source when said electronic device is inactivated pending a reassertion of said activation signal (Cromer: Fig.1-3, col.1 line 58 to col.2 line 67).

- activating selectively said one or more said BIOS routine responsive to said particular one user wherein a different one or more of said BIOS routines are activated for said first user than are activated for said second user (Teitelbaum: col.7 line 50 to col.9 line 15)

- selectively enabling access to one or more resources of said set of resources responsive to said particular one user with a different one or more resources enabled for said first user than are enabled for said second user (Cromer: Fig.1-3, col.4 line 40 to col.5, line 5, and Teitelbaum: col.2 line 42 to line 52, and col.4 line 14 to col.15 line 10).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Syed Zia whose telephone number is 571-272-3798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SZ

September 28, 2007


SYED A. ZIA
PRIMARY EXAMINER